INTRODUCTION

Operating systems are an often overlooked aspect of computer programming, yet it is a necessary and vital asset to all other components of computer interaction. Within this paper, the FreeBSD, Linux, and Windows operating systems will be looked over for form and function. They will also be compared and contrasted to each other in the functionality of their implementations to give the reader a more informed idea of what each System is intended for, and what features they can provide the user, Topics covered in this paper include Schedulers, Input/Output mechanisms, Memory Management, as well as Interrupts and Synchronisation.
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CONCLUSION

Within the preceding topics about Kernel Implementations of the features: Scheduler, Input/Output, Memory Management, Interrupts and Synchronisation a few bases have been rounded on major functionality differences between the three platforms. A conclusion that had been observed through the paper is the numerous similarities between FreeBSD and Linux, as well as their contrasting features to the Windows Operating system. However, All three platforms appear to possess similar similar semantics behind their implementations of all three listed features. It appears that these operating systems are more similar than what one may initially believe.